EPA's Draft Report on the Environment Technical Document

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Research and Development and the
Office of Environmental Information
Washington, DC 20460

www.epa.gov/indicators/





This report is dedicated to the memory of our friend and colleague, Dr. Felicity (Kim) Devonald. Kim was a tireless advocate for the development and use of environmental indicators at EPA, pioneering our efforts to provide useful and reliable descriptions of environmental status and trends.

Kim joined EPA in1984. Since the early 1990s, she was instrumental in Agency explorations of the concept of environmental indicators. Her efforts led to the Agency's first published proposals of fully developed environmentally based indicators (from public workshops) in the mid-1990s. She was working on material related to the state of science of these indicators almost to the moment of her death, and much of that material has been incorporated into this Technical Document.

Without Kim's example and her early efforts, this report would be far less than it is.

Table of Contents

Prefac	e	٠.١
List of	Participants	.vi
Introd	uction	.x
Chapte	er 1: Cleaner Air	
1.0	Introduction	1-3
1.1	Outdoor Air Quality	1-6
	1.1.1 What is the quality of outdoor air in the United States? 1.1.2 What contributes to outdoor air pollution? 1.1.3 What human health effects are associated with outdoor air pollution? 1.1.4 What ecological effects are associated with outdoor air pollution?	-18 -23
1.2	Acid Deposition	-25
1.7	1.2.1 What are the deposition rates of pollutants that cause acid rain?	-28 -29
1.5	Indoor Air Quality	
	1.3.1What is the quality of the air in buildings in the United States?1.3.2What contributes to indoor air pollution?1.3.3What human health effects are associated with indoor air pollution?	-31
1.4	Stratospheric Ozone	-32
	1.4.1What are the trends in the Earth's ozone layer?1.4.2What is causing changes to the ozone layer?1.4.3What human health effects are associated with stratospheric ozone depletion?1.4.4What ecological effects are associated with stratospheric ozone depletion?	-34 -37
1.5	Climate Change	
1.6	Challenges and Data Gaps	-39
Chapte	er 2: Purer Water	
2.0	Introduction	2-3
2.1	Extent and Use of Water Resources	2-6
2.2	Waters and Watersheds	2-8
2.3	2.2.1What is the condition of fresh surface waters and watersheds in the U.S.?2.2.2What are the extent and condition of wetlands?2.2.3What is the condition of coastal waters?2.2.4What are pressures to water quality?2.2.5What ecological effects are associated with impaired waters?Drinking Water	-13 -18 -24 -49
	2.3.1 What is the quality of drinking water?	
	2.3.2 What are sources of drinking water contamination?	-52

Table of Contents

EPA's Draft Report on the Environment 2003 ■ Technical Document

2.4	Recreation in and on the Water	2-53
	2.4.1 What is the condition of waters supporting recreational use?	
	2.4.2 What are sources of recreational water pollution?	
	2.4.3 What human health effects are associated with recreation in contaminated waters?	
2.5	,	
	2.5.1 What is the condition of waters that support consumption of fish and shellfish?	2-56
	2.5.2 What are contaminants in fish and shellfish, and where do they originate?	
	2.5.3 What human health effects are associated with consuming contaminated fish and shellfish?	2-62
2.6	Challenges and Data Gaps	2-63
~ 1 .		
•	er 3: Better Protected Land	
3.0		
3.1	Land Use	
	3.1.1 What is the extent of developed lands?	
	3.1.2 What is the extent of farmlands?	
	3.1.3 What is the extent of grasslands and shrublands?	
	3.1.4 What is the extent of forest lands?	
	3.1.5 What human health effects are associated with land use?	
	3.1.6 What ecological effects are associated with land use?	
3.2	Chemicals in the Landscape	3-24
	3.2.1 How much and what types of toxic substances are released into the environment?	3-24
	3.2.2 What is the volume, distribution, and extent of pesticide use?	
	3.2.3 What is the volume, distribution, and extent of fertilizer use?	
	3.2.4 What is the potential disposition of chemicals from land?	
	3.2.5 What human health effects are associated with pesticides, fertilizers, and toxic substances?	
	3.2.6 What ecological effects are associated with pesticides, fertilizers, and toxic substances?	3-38
3.3	Waste and Contaminated Lands	3-39
	3.3.1 How much and what types of waste are generated and managed?	3-40
	3.3.2 What is the extent of land used for waste management?	3-45
	3.3.3 What is the extent of contaminated lands?	3-47
	3.3.4 What human health effects are associated with waste management and contaminated lands?	3-50
	3.3.5 What ecological effects are associated with waste management and contaminated lands?	3-51
3.4	Challenges and Data Gaps	3-52
.		
•	er 4: Human Health	4 7
4.0		
4.1	Environmental Pollution and Disease: Links Between Exposure and Health Outcomes	
4.2	Health Status of the U.S. Compared to the Rest of the World	4-11
4.3	Health Status of the U.S.: Indicators and Trends of Health and Disease	
	4.3.1 What are the trends for life expectancy?	
	4.3.2 What are the trends for cancer, cardiovascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and as	
	4.3.3 What are the trends for gastrointestinal illness?	
	4.3.4 What are the trends for children's environmental health issues?	
	4.3.5 What are the trends for emerging health effects?	4.38

Table of Contents

Technical Document EPA's Draft Report on the Environment 2003

4.4	Measuring Exposure to Environmental Pollution: Indicators and Trends	4-42
	4.4.1 Biomonitoring indicators 4.4.2 Data sources for biomonitoring indicators 4.4.3 What is the level of exposure to heavy metals? 4.4.4 What is the level of exposure to cotinine? 4.4.5 What is the level of exposure to volatile organic compounds? 4.4.6 What is the level of exposure to pesticides? 4.4.7 What is the level of exposure to persistent organic pollutants? 4.4.8 What are the trends in exposure to environmental pollutants for children? 4.4.9 Pollutants for which biomonitoring data are not available 4.4.10 Endocrine disruptors—an emerging issue	4-43 4-44 4-50 4-51 4-53 4-55 4-55
4.5	Assessing the Environmental Burden of Disease	
4.6	Challenges and Data Gaps	4-62
Chapte	er 5: Ecological Condition	
5.0	Introduction	5-3
5.1	Links Between Stressors and Ecological Outcome: A Framework for Measuring Ecological Condition	5-7
5.2	What is the Ecological Condition of Forests?	5-9
5.3	What is the Ecological Condition of Farmlands?	5-25
5.4	What is the Ecological Condition of Grasslands and Shrublands?	5-32
5.5	What is the Ecological Condition of Urban and Suburban Areas?	
5.6	What is the Ecological Condition of Fresh Waters?	
5.7	What is the Ecological Condition of Coasts and Oceans?	
5.8	What is the Ecological Condition of the Entire Nation?	
5.9	Challenges and Data Gaps	
	IDIX A: Databases and Reports Supporting Major Clusters of Indicators Used in the Report with Links itional Information	
APPEN	IDIX B: Indicator Metadata	B-1
APPEN	IDIX C: Acronyms and Abbreviations	C-1
APPEN	IDIX D: Glossary of Terms	D-1
APPEN	IDIX E: References	E-1
APPEN	IDIX F: Background and Chronology	F-1
	IDIX G: Indicator Quality Review Form	
	IDIX H: EPA Draft Report on the Environment Expert Review Workshop Evaluation Form	
	IDIX I: Summary Tables of Questions and Indicators	

Table of Contents

Preface

From EPA's Science Advisor and Chief Information Officer

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has been a world leader in developing and implementing solutions to the environmental problems in our air, water and land. Through the years, working together with other Federal Agencies we have built a significant body of science and knowledge that has influenced national and international public policy, and has raised our awareness of the value of our environment. Yet, even with the enormous wealth of understanding and information that we have today, there are still gaps in our ability to adequately monitor many key indicators in the cascades of events that link our efforts to protect the environment to the ultimate outcomes we seek: cleaner air, purer water, better protected land, and improved human health and ecological condition. To close that gap, we need both scientifically sound indicators and the national data to support them.

With the publication of the EPA Draft Report on the Environment, including this comprehensive Technical Document, EPA has launched a multi-year effort to improve the state of the science and our knowledge of the state of the environment. This effort addresses indicators, monitoring data and models for better tracking the impacts of our activities on the environment. This document includes indicators that EPA has monitored for many years, including ambient levels of pollutants in air, water and land. However, we recognize that protecting the environment ultimately is achieved in terms of human health and ecological condition, and these two chapters serve as anchors for the entire report.

The last sections of each chapter of this report describe challenges and data gaps associated with its particular subject area. Several general issues have emerged that we will address in the coming months and years.

Shifting to an "Outcomes" Framework

Identifying environmental "outcomes" such as better human health and ecological condition requires a significant shift in how the Agency frames questions and issues about environmental quality. The first three chapters of this report; Cleaner Air, Purer Water, and Better Protected Land, ask questions that tend to follow traditional Agency efforts to prevent, control, or remediate the effects of pollution. For example:

- What is the quality of outdoor air in the United States?
- What are pressures to water quality?
- What is the extent of developed land?

The final two chapters on human health and ecological condition, ask questions about outcomes, for example:

- What are the trends for cancer?
- What is the ecological condition of coasts and oceans?

To understand how EPA's mission affects these outcomes, both directly and indirectly, requires indicators not only of pollutant releases and ambient conditions, but indicators that span the chain of events between the release of a pollutant, exposure of people, plants and animals, and the chain of events from dose to effects. In the case of human health, factors such as level of health care, natural disease rates, and actual human exposures must be factored into an indicator strategy. For ecological systems, indicators are needed that better track hydrology, features of the landscape, natural disturbances, ecological processes, and other factors that interact with pollutants to ultimately determine ecosystem condition.

Availability of Indicators

For a few of the questions in the report, indicators were identified that are available at the national level. More frequently, however, we found that promising indicators have been developed and measured for limited geographic areas, or for a part of the causal chain. Further exploration of the relationship between measurements used for assessments and measurements used for diagnosis of causal factors also is needed. Development and testing of national indicators has been a high research priority for EPA's Office of Research and Development.

Preface

EPA's Draft Report on the Environment 2003 Technical Document

Availability of Data

For each of the indicators, we attempted to gather data of sufficient quality and coverage to support national reporting, both within and outside the Agency. Generally, the available data were too limited in place and time to describe national trends, or even to provide a national snapshot of conditions. Because the data from different organizations often serve a broad range of purposes, even when data are available nationally, gaps remain in the spatial, temporal and phenomenological coverage needed to track the outcomes of many of EPA's programs. Monitoring networks established to address specific issues must be better integrated through common definitions, designs, methods, and information systems.

Collaborating for the Future

With this draft as a starting point, we look forward to collaborating with federal and state agencies to promote integrated and coherent approaches and mechanisms for reporting on the state of the environment. Following the release of this report, we will be working closely with scientists from other federal and state agencies and the academic community to explore how best to improve our ability to measure and assess environmental conditions.

We invite all of our stakeholders to lend their creativity and commitment in the months and years ahead as they join us in meeting Administrator Whitman's challenge to focus our resources on the areas of greatest concern and to manage our work to achieve measurable results.

Paul Gilman, Ph.D.

Science Advisor and Assistant Administrator for Research and Development

Kimberly I. Nelson

Chief Information Officer and Assistant Administrator for Environmental Information

Tarticipants

EPA's Science Advisor sincerely acknowledges the help and advice of all the individuals who assisted in developing the Report on the Environment Technical Document (TD) 2003 and the Report on the Environment (ROE) 2003. In particular, the following individuals provided critical support:

ROE Technical Document Leads

Peter Preuss - ORD Denice Shaw - ORD Vivian Turner - ORD

ROE Leads

Michael Flynn - OEI Heather Case - OEI Reggie Cheatham - OEI

EPA Contributors

Susan Absher - OECA Suzanne Annand - OEI Tom Armitage - OW Deveraux Barnes - OSWER

Thomas Barnwell (ORD) - Land TD Lead

David Bayliss - ORD Joseph Bergstein - Region 2 Eric Burman - OSWER Paul Bertram - Region 5 Jeff Bigler - OW Patricia Bradley - ORD Barry Burgan - OW

Rebecca Calderon (ORD) - Human Health TD Co-Lead

Arden Calvert - OCFO Pat Childers - OAR Ed Chu - OA Paul Cocca - OW Mark Corbin - OPPTS Elizabeth Corr - OW Larry Cupitt - ORD Denise Cunningham - ORD Ilan Davidovici - OAR Wayne Davis - OEI Kathleen Deener - ORD Tom DeMoss - Region 3 Fred Dimmick - OAR Ron Evans - OAR Elissa Feldman - OAR Elaine Francis - ORD Herman Gibb - ORD Chris Gillis - OPPTS Eric Ginsburg - OAR

Dave Guinnup - OAR Richard Haeuber - OAR

Michael Hadrick (OAR) - Air TD Lead

Christine Hartless - OPPTS Tom Helms - OAR

James Hemby - OAR Brian Hill - ORD Michelle Hiller - OCIR Melanie Hoff-OSWER Rick Hoffman - OW Karen Hogan - ORD Joe Hogue - OPPTS David Hockey - OSWER Scott Ireland - OW

Elizabeth Jackson - (OEI) ROE Water Lead

Steve Jarboe - OPPTS Taylor Jarnigan- ORD Jennifer Jinot - ORD Bruce Jones - ORD

Marjorie Jones (OW) - Water TD Lead

Catherine Joseph - OPPTS D'nise Kaalund - OEI Karen Keller - OSWER William Kepner - ORD Aparna Koppikar - ORD Charles Kovatch - OW Rashmi Lal - OEI James Lazorchak - ORD Jane Leggett - OAR Fred Leutner - OW Barbara Levinson - ORD Rick Linthurst - OEI Maricruz MaGowan - OSWER

Deborah Mangis - ORD

Karen Martin - OAR

Michael McDonald (ORD) - Ecological Condition TD Co-Lead

Ron McHugh - OPPTS Dave McKee - OAR Hugh McKinnon - ORD Dan Melamed - OSWER

Jay Messer (ORD) - Ecological Condition TD Co-Lead

Jennifer McLain - OW Margree McRae - ORD Lee Mulkey - ORD Cindy Sonich-Mullen - ORD

Patricia Murphy - ORD

Participants

John Girman - OAR

Anne Grambsch - ORD

vii

EPA's Draft Report on the Environment 2003 Technical Document

Susan Offerdal - OECA

Tony Olsen - ORD

Jennifer Orme-Zaveleta - ORD

Betty Overton - ORD

Cynthia Nolt-Helms - ORD

Dale Pahl - ORD

Doris Price - OAR

Steve Paulsen - ORD

Pasky Pascual- ORD

Jim Pendergast - OW

Dan Petersen - ORD

Jeff Peterson - OW

Michael Plastino - OW

Ilana Preuss - OPEI

Bruce Pumphrey - OECA

Ravi Rao - Region 4

Stig Regli - OW

Harvey Richmond - OAR

Mary Ross - OAR

Kevin Rosseel - OAR

William Russo - ORD

Vicki Sandiford - OAR

Keith Sargent- OPEI

Joel Scheraga - ORD

Dina Schreinemachers - ORD

Henry Schuver - OSW

Velu Senthill - OEI

Ronald Shafer - (OEI) ROE Air Lead

Heather Shoven- OPPT

Terry Slonecker - ORD

Ron Slotkin - ORD

Deborah Smegal - (OEI) ROE Human Health Lead

Jonathan Smith - ORD

Elizabeth Smith - ORD

Chuck Spooner - OW

Susan Stone - OAR

James T. Sullivan - OAR

Kevin Summers - ORD

Carol Terris - OPPTS

Sylvia Thomas - OEI

Tim Torma - OPEI

Amy Vasu - OAR

Doreen Vetter - OW David Vogler - Region 6

James White - OAR

Jim Wickham - ORD

Mary Wigginton - ORD

Glenn Williams - OPPTS

Darrell Winner - ORD

Louise Wise - OW

Hal Zenick (ORD) - Human Health TD Co-Lead

EPA Regional Support

Thomas Davanzo Region-1 Alice Yeh Region-2 John Armstead Region-3 Cory Berish Region-4 Cynthia Curtis Region-5 William Rhea Region-6 Richard Sumpter Region-7 Gerard Bulanowski Region-8 Nora McGee Region-9 Jon Schweiss Region-10

Participants viii

External Experts

Peer Review of Indicators (June 10-12, 2002)

Thomas Burke - The Johns Hopkins University, School of Public Health; Pew Commission Report

Keith Harrison - Michigan Environmental Science Board

Anthony Janetos - The H. John Heinz III Center for Science,

Economics and the Environment

Patrick Kinney - Mailman School of Public Health/ Div Environ

Health Sciences /Columbia University

James Listorti - formerly The World Bank

Robin O'Malley - The H. John Heinz III Center for Science,

Economics and the Environment

Ed Rankin - Ohio University: formerly Ohio EPA

Phil Singer - University of North Carolina

William Steen - University of Georgia

Roger Tankersley - Tennessee Valley Authority

Robert VanDolah - Marine Resources Research Institute/ South

Carolina Department of Natural Resources

Bailus Walker - Howard University Hospital

Chris Yoder - Ohio University: formerly Ohio EPA

External Consultation (May 10, 2002)

Thomas Burke - The Johns Hopkins University, School of Public Health

John Godleski - Harvard School of Public Health

Anthony Janetos - World Resources Institute; currently with The H. John Heinz III Center for Science, Economics and the Environment

Daniel Markowitz - Malcolm Pirnie

Robin O'Malley - The H. John Heinz III Center for Science,

Economics and the Environment

Jonathan Patz - The Johns Hopkins University, School of Public Health

James Pratt - Portland State University

Thomas Sinks - Department of Health and Human Services/Centers

for Disease Control and Prevention

Terry Young - Environmental Defense Fund

NRC Consultation (March 2002)

David Policansky

James Reisa

Members of the Board on Environmental Studies and Toxicology

Environmental Council of States (ECOS) State Indicators Workgroup

Karen Atkinson - Texas

Steve Brown - ECOS Executive Director

Wendy Caperton - Oklahoma

Christine Epstein - ECOS

Joe Francis - Nebraska

Keith Harrison - Michigan

Linda Haynie - Texas

Roger Kanerva - Illinois

Edwin Levine - Florida

Linda Mazur - California

Linda McCarty - Missouri

Leslie McGeorge - New Jersey

George Meyer - Wisconsin

Tim Mulholland - Wisconsin

Arleen O'Donnell - Massachusetts

Laura Pasquale - Florida

Greg Pettit - Oregon

Eileen Pierce - Wisconsin

Dee Ragsdale - Washington

Jon Sandoval - Idaho

Jacqueline Schafer - Arizona

Barbara Sexton - Pennsylvania

Val Siebal - California

Beth Vaughan - California

Gordon Wegwart - Minnesota

Clinton Whitney - California

Bob Zimmerman - Delaware

Federal Agency Workgroup

Alan Hecht - CEQ Lead

Margot Anderson - Department of Energy

Adela Backiel - Department of Agriculture

Ralph Cantral - National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Mark Delfs - Department of Agriculture

George Dunlop - Department of Defense

Tyler Duvall - Department of Transportation

Bill Effland - Department of Agriculture/Natural Resources

Conservation Service

Beverly Getzen - Army Corps of Engineers

Jimmy Glotfelty - Department of Energy

James Hanson - Department of the Interior

Theodore Heintz - Department of the Interior

Woody Kessel - Department of Health and Human Services

Linda Lawson - Department of Transportation

Camille Mittleholtz - Department of Transportation

Melinda Moore - Department of Health and Human Services/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Participants

ix

Contractor Technical Support

Technology Planning and Management Corporation (TPMC) & Perot Systems Government Services (PSGS)

Ross and Associates Environmental Consulting, Ltd.

Science Applications International Corporation

FTN & Associates, Ltd.

Environmental Management Consulting

Eastern Research Group, Inc.

WESTAT

Independent Consultants and Editors

- Ellen Chu
- Anne Ruffner Edwards
- Barbara Shapiro
- June Taylor

Participants

Technical Document _ EPA's Draft Report on the Environment 2003

Introduction

"When I leave office, I want to be able to say that America's air is cleaner, its water is purer, and its land better protected than it was when I arrived. As we seek to achieve this goal, EPA needs to be accountable for our stewardship."

Christine Todd Whitman, Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

In November 2001, EPA Administrator Christine Todd Whitman directed the Agency to bring together its national, regional and program office data to produce a report on the "state of the environment." The report would represent the first step of the Environmental Indicators Initiative, a multi-year process that would ultimately allow future EPA administrators to better measure and report on progress toward environmental and human health goals and to ensure the Agency's accountability to the public.

To produce this report, EPA's Office of Research and Development (ORD) and Office of Environmental Information (OEI) led a collaborative effort to identify the key questions to be answered by the report, to identify an initial set of indicators, and to develop a process for reviewing and selecting the indicators and supporting data to be included in the final report. This task was accomplished thanks to the efforts of numerous EPA staff, representatives from other federal agencies, representatives from the states and tribes, and external advisors and reviewers. The indicators and supporting data used in this report were generated by EPA and other federal, state, tribal, regional, local, and non-governmental organizations. The Council on Environmental Quality in the Executive Office of the President was helpful throughout in coordinating interagency contributions to the project.

EPA's Draft Report on the Environment (ROE) consists of this Technical Document and a version of the report for general reading. These reports pose national questions about the environment and human health and answer those questions wherever scientifically sound indicators and high-quality supporting data are available. The reports both pose questions and present indicators related to:

- Cleaner Air
- Purer Water
- Better Protected Land
- Human Health
- Ecological Condition

This *Draft Technical Document* discusses the limitations of the currently available indicators and data, and the gaps and challenges that must be overcome to provide better answers in the future.

For a few indicators, data are available that are truly representative of the entire nation. For other indicators, data currently are available for only one region (such as the East Coast or the Northwest), but the indicator could obviously be applied nationally if the data were available. Based on the availability of supporting data, indicators that were selected and included in this report were assigned to one of two categories:

- Category 1 –The indicator has been peer reviewed and is supported by national level data coverage for more than one time period. The supporting data are comparable across the nation and are characterized by sound collection methodologies, data management systems, and quality assurance procedures.
- Category 2 –The indicator has been peer reviewed, but the supporting data are available only for part of the nation (e.g., multistate regions or ecoregions), or the indicator has not been measured for more than one time period, or not all the parameters of the indicator have been measured (e.g., data has been collected for birds, but not for plants or insects). The supporting data are comparable across the areas covered, and are characterized by sound collection methodologies, data management systems, and quality assurance procedures.

This report is part of EPA's continuing effort to identify, improve, and utilize environmental indicators in its planning, management, and public reporting. EPA's specific strategies and performance targets to protect human health and the environment are presented in the Agency's strategic and annual plans. These planning and performance documents, together with the questions, indicators and data presented in these reports, will allow EPA to better define and measure the status and trends in environment and health, and to better measure the effectiveness of its programs and activities.

This technical report is a draft, intended to elicit comments and suggestions on the approach and findings. To learn more about EPA's *Draft Report on the Environment* and the Environmental Indicators Initiative, and to provide comments and feedback, please visit http://www.epa.gov/indicators/>.

Introduction